

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/649,903	08/28/2000	Stefan O. Dick	P-1000	7709	
75	590 11/05/2002				
Scott R Cox Lynch Cox Gilman & Mahan PSC Suite 2200			EXAMINER		
			MOHANDESI, JILA M		
400 West Market Louisville, KY 40202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3728		
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on N .	Applicant(s)		
		09/649,90	03	BOUVIER ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit		
		Jila M Mo		3728		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicati r Reply	on appears on the	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, beply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evition. ys, a reply within the stat y period will apply and w	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr lication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>15 August 200</u>	<u>2</u> .			
2a)□	•					
3)□ Dispositio	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.				
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-21</u> is/are wi	ithdrawn from cor	nsideration.			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	and/or election r	equirement.			
9) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) 🔲 🏻	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)[] 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🔲 a	pproved b)⊡ disap	proved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this O	ffice action.			
12)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have bee	en received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for d		•			
) \square The translation of the foreign langua	•				
`	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	• .	•			
Attachment	r(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		6)			

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 18-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pakeriasamy `573 in view of Lancesseur (5,432,214). Pakeriasamy `573 discloses a packaging container for integrated circuits comprising a tray (11a) for holding integrated circuits, and a tray cover (11b), wherein the composition of the tray comprises a plastic material treated or coated with an appropriate additive so as to render it anti-static or static dissipative to avoid damage to the integrated circuits caused by electrostatic discharge. Pakeriasamy `573 does not appear to disclose the tray cover having a moisture-absorbent composition material. Lancesseur `214 discloses a packaging container for packaging goods that are sensitive to moisture, where the composition of the packaging material is a dehydrating plastics (polypropylene) material composition

Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

of high moisture-absorption capacity which is formed by injection, thermoforming, or blow molding. The dehydration agent/desiccating material can be silica gels and molecular sieves. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the tray cover composition of Pakeriasamy `573 from a dehydrating material as taught by Lancesseur `214 to adsorb moisture contained within the packaging container and therefore prevent damage to the integrated circuits due to moisture and humidity.

4. Claims 2-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pakeriasamy `573 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Martin et al. (5,875,892). Pakeriasamy `573 as modified above discloses all the limitations of the claims except for having a humidity indicator device. Martin `892 discloses a packaging container for integrated circuits where the upper section of the packaging container has an opening (18) for receiving a humidity indicator device (20, 22). The humidity indicator device is secured to the packaging container by a clear, plastic, circular disk (24). See Figure 2 embodiment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a humidity indicator device to the packaging container of Pakeriasamy `573 as taught by Martin `892 to visually control and disclose the humidity of the air within the container.

With regard to claims 6, 7, see column 3, lines 65-67 and column 4, lines 1-7 of Martin `892.

5. Claims 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the above references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kitamura et al.

Art Unit: 3728

(5,295,297). Pakeriasamy `573 as modified above discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the packaging container further comprising a water and moisture-proof barrier bag into which the tray is secured. Kitamura `297 discloses a packaging container for integrated circuits comprising a water and moisture-proof barrier bag for moisture proofing the packaging container (see column 12, lines 36-49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a water, moisture-proof barrier bag for the packaging container of Pakeriasamy `573 to better moisture-proof the packaging container and avoid damage to the integrated circuits caused by moisture.

With regard to claims 15 and 16, see column 3, lines 65-67 and column 4, lines 1-7 of Martin `892.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M. Mohandesi whose telephone number is 703-305-7015. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3728

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Jila M. Mohandesi

Lih.M

Examiner Art Unit 3728

JMM

November 1, 2002